



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_32767
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH INSURANCE SCHEME'S (NHIS) EFFECTIVENESS IN A TERTIARY TEACHING HOSPITAL IN SOUTHEAST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The method described is not qualitative study. Introduction is very poor, stamen of problem not stated and no justification of study. It appears the author is not conversant with qualitative study methodology.	All these have been captured in the introductory section now. The issue of qualitative study methodology has been resolved in the title of the study. It was an over sight, the study was a quantitative study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		